SOUTHEND STATES DISTRICT COURT

MOHAMMAD AHMED,

08 CV

4804

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

v.

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC., ELWOOD SHELTON, THE CITY NEW YORK, RAJINDER SINGH and NOEL OLIVO,

U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y.
CASHIERS

Defendants.

TO: THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- 1. Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. ("LCPA") and Elrige Shelton, sued incorrectly as "Elwood" Shelton, (collectively with LCPA, the "Lincoln Center Defendants") are defendants in the civil action commenced by Plaintiff Mohammad Ahmed in New York State Supreme Court, New York County, Index no. 105336/08. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, the Lincoln Center Defendants hereby remove this action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, which is the judicial district in which this action is pending.
- 2. Removal is proper because this Court would have had original jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, inasmuch as it is founded on a claim or right arising under the Constitution, treaties or laws of the United States. Specifically, in his Verified Complaint, which is annexed with the Summons as Exhibit 1, the plaintiff alleges that he was "deprived of his civil rights guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States, and Lincoln Center and

Shelton are therefore liable to plaintiff under 42 U.S.C. § 1983." (Verified Complaint, Exhibit 1, ¶ 37.)

- 3. This Notice of Removal is timely because the Summons and Verified Complaint were first served on the Lincoln Center Defendants on April 23, 2008, and this Notice was filed within 30 days of such service. Annexed hereto as Exhibit 2 are the affidavits of service of process on the Lincoln Center Defendants.
- 4. The only papers served to date in this action are the Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal, annexed hereto as Exhibit 3, and the Summons and the Verified Complaint.
- 5. The remaining defendants in this action, The City of New York, Rajinder Singh and Noel Olivo (collectively, the "City Defendants"), consent to removal. Such consent was communicated to the undersigned attorneys for the Lincoln Center Defendants by Nancy

Filed 05/22/2008 Page 3 of 45

Goldbach of the New York City Department of Law, counsel to the City Defendants, on May 16, 2008.

Dated: New York, New York

May 22, 2008

MOUND COTTOM WOLLAN & GREENGRASS

By:

Kenneth M. Labbate (KL-1074)

Sanjit Shah (SS-0148)

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, New York 10004

Phone: (212) 804-4200 Fax: (212) 344-8066

klabbate@moundcotton.com sshah@moundcotton.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc., and Elrige Shelton

Of Counsel:

Lesley Friedman Rosenthal (LR-5637) Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. 70 Lincoln Center Plaza New York, NY 10023-6583

Phone: (212) 875-5000 Fax: (212) 875-5122

lrosenthal@lincolncenter.org

EXMIBIT!

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK
-----X
MOHAMMAD AHMED,

Plaintiff,

-against-

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ELWOOD SHELTON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, RAJINDER SINGH and NOEL OLIVO,

Defendants.

To the above named defendant(s):

Plaintiff designates New York County as the place of trial

Basis of venue is county of occurrence

SUMMONS

105336 2008.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on the plaintiff's attorney within 20 days after service of this summons (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008

Px7

Edward Sivin, E

Attorneys for Plaintiff 170 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, NY 10038

(212) 349-0300

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
MOHAMMAD AHMED,	X

Plaintiff,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Page 6 of 45

-against-

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC., ELWOOD SHELTON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, RAJINDER SINGH and NOEL OLIVO,

Defendants.																																				
		_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-7	X

Plaintiff, by his attorneys Sivin & Miller, LLP, complaining of defendants, alleges as follows, upon information and belief:

THE PARTIES

- 1. That at all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was and is a United States citizen and a resident of the County of Queens, City and State of New York.
- 2. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. (hereinafter "Lincoln Center") was and is a domestic corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. That at all times herein mentioned, Lincoln Center owned, operated, managed, maintained, and controlled a performing arts center located at Lincoln Center Plaza (hereinafter "the premises"), in the County of New York, City and State of New York.

- 4. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Elwood Shelton (hereinafter "Shelton") was and is an employee of Lincoln Center, and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Lincoln Center.
- 5. That at all times herein mentioned, Shelton was clothed with the authority of state law.
- 6. That at all times herein mentioned, Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, were under an obligation to operate, manage, maintain, and control the premises and activities thereat in a careful, safe, and lawful manner, such that no persons lawfully within and upon the premises would be caused to sustain personal injuries.
- 7. That at all times herein mentioned, Lincoln Center was under an obligation to use reasonable care in the hiring, training, and retention of its employees.
- 8. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant The City of New York (hereinafter "the City") was and is a municipal corporation, organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 9. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Rajinder Singh (hereinafter "Singh") was and is an NYPD officer employed by the City.
- 10. That at all times herein mentioned, Singh was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City.
- 11. That at all times herein mentioned, Singh was acting under color of state law.
- 12. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Noel Olivo (hereinafter "Olivo") was and is an NYPD officer employed by the City.

- 13. That at all times herein mentioned, Olivo was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City.
- 14. That at all times herein mentioned, Olivo was acting under color of state law.
- 15. That prior to the institution of this action, and within ninety days from the date when the causes of action accrued herein, a notice of claim and intention to sue was duly served upon and filed with the City on behalf of plaintiff; that this action was not commenced until the expiration of thirty (30) days after such notice of claim and intention to sue was presented, and the City has neglected and/or refused to make adjustment or payment thereon, and this action is being commenced within one year and ninety days after the causes of action accrued herein.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 16. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
 - 17. That on August 18, 2007, plaintiff was lawfully at the premises.
- 18. That while plaintiff was lawfully at the premises, he was falsely accused by agents, servants and/or employees of Lincoln Center, including Shelton, of "scalping" or attempting to "scalp" tickets and/or of otherwise engaging in other prohibited or illegal conduct.
- 19. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, failed to exercise reasonable care and failed to conduct a reasonable or careful inquiry or investigation prior to making the false accusations against plaintiff.

- 20. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, then summoned the NYPD to the premises.
- 21. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, wrongfully instigated, importuned, and encouraged members of the NYPD to arrest plaintiff.
- 22. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, willfully participated in joint activity with the City, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo.
- 23. That Singh and Olivo forcibly seized, detained, arrested and imprisoned plaintiff without justification, privilege or probable cause, and without adequately investigating the accusations that were made against plaintiff.
- 24. That the seizure, detention, arrest, and imprisonment of plaintiff were caused by the improper conduct of Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton.
- 25. That the seizure, detention, arrest, and imprisonment of plaintiff were caused by the improper conduct of the City, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo.
- 26. That during the course of the seizure, detention, and arrest of plaintiff, the NYPD, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo, used excessive, unreasonable, and unnecessary force against plaintiff, thereby causing physical injury to plaintiff.
- 27. That during the course of the seizure, detention, and arrest of plaintiff, Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, used excessive,

unreasonable, and unnecessary force against plaintiff, thereby causing physical injury to plaintiff.

- 28. That as a result of the aforementioned actions of defendants, plaintiff sustained severe personal injuries, endured and continues to endure pain and suffering and a loss of enjoyment of life, sustained and will continue to sustain economic loss, including healthcare expenses and lost earnings, and has otherwise been damaged.
- 29. That all of the causes of action herein fall within one or more of the exceptions contained in CPLR Article 16 with respect to joint and several liability.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER AND SHELTON

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 31. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, Lincoln Center and Shelton are liable to plaintiff for false arrest and false imprisonment.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER AND SHELTON

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 33. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, Lincoln Center and Shelton are liable to plaintiff for assault and battery.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER AND SHELTON

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 35. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, Lincoln Center and Shelton are liable to plaintiff for negligence.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER and SHELTON

- 36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 37. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and employees, including Shelton, plaintiff was deprived of his civil rights guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States, and Lincoln Center and Shelton therefore are liable to plaintiff under 42 USC § 1983.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE CITY, SINGH and OLIVO

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 39. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by the City and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo, the City, Singh and Olivo are liable to plaintiff for false arrest and false imprisonment.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE CITY, SINGH and OLIVO

40. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.

41. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by the City and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo, the City, Singh and Olivo are liable to plaintiff for assault and battery.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST SINGH and OLIVO

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 43. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Singh and Olivo, plaintiff was deprived of his civil rights guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States, and Singh and Olivo therefore are liable to plaintiff under 42 USC § 1983.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against defendants, and all of them, on all of the aforementioned causes of action, for compensatory damages and punitive damages in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts that otherwise would have jurisdiction over this action, and plaintiff also demands attorney's fees against Lincoln Center, Shelton, Singh and Olivo pursuant to 42 USC § 1988, and plaintiff also demands the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008

Yours, etc.

SIVIN & MILLER, LLP

By

Edward Sivin

Attorneys for plaintiff

170 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 349-0300

VERIFICATION

EDWARD SIVIN, an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the State of New York, hereby affirms the following, under penalty of perjury:

That I am the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the within action.

That I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof; that the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be upon information and belief; and as to those matters I believe it to be true.

That the reason this verification is made by your affirmant and not by the plaintiff(s) is that plaintiff(s) does not reside in the County where your affirmant maintains his office.

That the grounds for your affirmant's belief as to all matters not stated upon my knowledge are as follows: records, reports, facts and documents contained in plaintiff(s) file maintained by your affirmant's office.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008

EDWARD SIVIN

200804300 14

Index No.

Year 2008

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MOHAMMAD AHMED,

Plaintiff,

-against-

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC., et. al.,

Defendants.

SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT

SIVIN & MILLER, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff 170 Broadway New York, NY 10038 (212) 349-0300 FAX (212) 406-9462

Certification Pursuant to 22 NYCRR §130-1.1a

Edward Sivin hereby certifies that, pursuant to 22 NYCRR § 130/1.1a, the foregoing papers are not frivolous nor frivolously presented.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008

ÉDWARD****SIVIN

Exhibit 2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	A1	Attorney: SIVIN & MILLER, ESQS.									
COUNTY OF NEW (CORK 1:08-cv-04804-GBD Docume	nt 1 Filed 05	3/22/2008 Page 16 of 45									
MOHAMMAD AHMED	Plaintiff(s)	The papers served bore the index # and date of filing.									
- against -	riamun(s)	Index # 105336/2008									
LINGOLN OFNITED FOR THE DEDECOMING ARTS INC. ETAI	•	Purchased April 14, 2008									
LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ETAI											

Defendant(s)

STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF NEW YORK ss:

MICHAEL HARRIS BEING DULY SWORN DEPOSES AND SAYS DEPONENT IS NOT A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, OVER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN YEARS AND RESIDES IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

That on April 23, 2008 at 03:50 PM at

LINCOLN CENTER ATTN: LEGAL OFFICE 70 LINCOLN CENTER PLAZA 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10023



Attorney SIVIN & MILLER ESOS

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

deponent served the within SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT on ELWOOD SHELTON therein named, **NEW YORK**

SUITABLE **AGE**

by delivering thereat a true copy of each to CECELIA GILCHRIEST a person of suitable and Gilchries of FICE premises is Defendant's actual place of business within the state. She identified herself as the CO-WORKER of the Defendant.

Deponent further states that he describes the person actually served as follows:

Weight (Approx) Height (Approx.) Skin Color Hair Color Age (Approx.) Sex 120 50 5'1 WHITE **BROWN FEMALE**

MAILING

Deponent enclosed a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the Defendant at the Defendant's actual place of business at

LINCOLN CENTER ATTN: LEGAL OFFICE 70 LINCOLN CENTER PLAZA 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10023

and deposited said wrapper in a post office or official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within New York State on April 24, 2008 by REGULAR FIRST CLASS MAIL in an envelope marked PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL and not indicating on the outside thereof, by return address or otherwise, that the communication is from an attorney or concerns an action against the person to be served.

MILITARY SERVICE

Person spoken to was asked whether the Defendant was in the military service of the State of New York or the United States and received a negative reply. Upon information and belief based upon the conversation and observation as aforesaid deponent avers that the Defendant is not in the military service of the State of New York or the United States as that term is defined in the statutes of the State of New York or the Federal Soldiers and Sailors Civil Relief Act.

That at the time of such service deponent knew the person so served as aforesaid to be the same person mentioned and described as the Defendant in this action.

Sworn to me on: April 24, 2008

JOEL GRABER Notary Public, State of New York No. 02GR4699723 Qualified in New York County Expires February 10, 2010

JOSEPH KNIGHT Notary Public, State of New York Nø. 01KN6178241 Qualified In New York County Expires November 26, 2011

JONATHAN GRABER Notary Public, State of New York No. 01GR6156780 Qualified in New York County Expires December 4, 2010

MICHAEL HARRIS

License #: 1077447 Invoice #: 460658

Case 1:08-cv-04804-GBD Document 1. SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Filed 05/22/2008

Page 17 of 45 105336/2008

MOHAMMAD AHMED

Plaintiff(s)

- against -

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ETAL

Defendant(s)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE



SIVIN & MILLER, ESQS. 170 BROADWAY SUITE 600 NEW YORK, NY 10038 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF (212) 349-0300

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW COUNT	t 1 Filed 05	torney: SIVIN & MILLER, ESQS. 5/22/2008 Page 18 of 45
MOHAMMAD AHMED - against -	Plaintiff(s)	The papers served bore the index # and date of filing. Index # 105336/2008
LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ETAL-		Purchased April 14, 2008
	Defendant(s)	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF NEW YORK ss:		

STEVEN C. AVERY BEING DULY SWORN DEPOSES AND SAYS DEPONENT IS NOT A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, OVER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN YEARS AND RESIDES IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

That on April 28, 2008 at 10:20 AM at

SECRETARY OF STATE ALBANY, NY

deponent served the within SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT on LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS. IN therein named,

SECRETARY OF STATE a Domestic corporation by delivering two true copies to CAROL VOGT, LEGAL CLERK personally deponent knew said corporation so served to be the corporation described in said summons as said Defendant and knew said individual to be AUTHORIZED to accept thereof.

Service upon the N.Y.S. Secretary of State under SECTION 306 OF THE NOT FOR PROFIT CORPORATION LAW and tendering the required fee.

Deponent further states that he describes the person actually served as follows:

SexSkin ColorHair ColorAge (Approx.)Height (Approx.)Weight (Approx)FEMALEWHITEBLACK455'4140

That at the time of such service deponent knew the person so served as aforesaid to be the same person mentioned and described as the Defendant in this action.

Sworn to me on: April 30, 2008

JOEL GRABER Notary Public, State of New York No. 02GR4699723 Qualified in New York County Expires February 10, 2010 JOSÉPH KNIGH Notary Public, Syste of New York No. 01KN6178241 Qualified In New York County Expires November 26, 2011 JONATHAN GRABER Notary Public, State of New York No. 01GR6156780 Qualified in New York County Expires December 4, 2010

STEVEN C. AVERY

À.,

Invoice #: 460657

Case 1:08-cv-04804-GBD Document 1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Filed 05/22/2008

Page 19 of 45 105336/2008

MOHAMMAD AHMED

Plaintiff(s)

- against -

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ETAL

Defendant(s)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

SIVIN & MILLER, ESQS. 170 BROADWAY SUITE 600 NEW YORK, NY 10038 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF (212) 349-0300 EXMIDIT 3

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
MOHAMMAD AHMED,	
Plaintiff,	Index No. 105336/08
V	NOTICE OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL
LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC., ELWOOD SHELTON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, RAJINDER SINGH and NOEL OLIVO,	

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 22nd day of May, 2008, the annexed Notice of Removal was filed, removing this action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Dated: New York, New York May 22, 2008

MOUND COTTON WOLLAN & GREENGRASS

By:

Defendants.

Kenneth M. Labbate (KL-1074)

Sanjit Shah (SS-0148)

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, New York 10004

Phone: (212) 804-4200

Fax: (212) 344-8066

klabbate@moundcotton.com

sshah@moundcotton.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc.

and Elrige Shelton

To: Edward Sivin, Esq.
Sivin & Miller, LLP
170 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mohammad Ahmed

New York City Department of Law 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007 Attorneys for Defendants The City of New York, Rajinder Singh and Noel Olivo

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NI	EW YORK	
MOHAMMAD AHMED,	Λ	
Pl	laintiff,	Civil Action No.
v.		NOTICE OF REMOVAL
LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE ARTS, INC., ELWOOD SHELT NEW YORK, RAJINDER SING OLIVO,	TON, THE CITY OF	
D	efendants. X	

TO: THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- 1. Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. ("LCPA") and Elrige Shelton, sued incorrectly as "Elwood" Shelton, (collectively with LCPA, the "Lincoln Center Defendants") are defendants in the civil action commenced by Plaintiff Mohammad Ahmed in New York State Supreme Court, New York County, Index no. 105336/08. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, the Lincoln Center Defendants hereby remove this action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, which is the judicial district in which this action is pending.
- 2. Removal is proper because this Court would have had original jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, inasmuch as it is founded on a claim or right arising under the Constitution, treaties or laws of the United States. Specifically, in his Verified Complaint, which is annexed with the Summons as Exhibit 1, the plaintiff alleges that he was "deprived of his civil rights guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States, and Lincoln Center and

Shelton are therefore liable to plaintiff under 42 U.S.C. § 1983." (Verified Complaint, Exhibit 1, ¶ 37.)

- 3. This Notice of Removal is timely because the Summons and Verified Complaint were first served on the Lincoln Center Defendants on April 23, 2008, and this Notice was filed within 30 days of such service. Annexed hereto as Exhibit 2 are the affidavits of service of process on the Lincoln Center Defendants.
- 4. The only papers served to date in this action are the Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal, annexed hereto as Exhibit 3, and the Summons and the Verified Complaint.
- 5. The remaining defendants in this action, The City of New York, Rajinder Singh and Noel Olivo (collectively, the "City Defendants"), consent to removal. Such consent was communicated to the undersigned attorneys for the Lincoln Center Defendants by Nancy

Goldbach of the New York City Department of Law, counsel to the City Defendants, on May 16, 2008.

Dated: New York, New York

May 22, 2008

MOUND COTTOM WOLLAN & GREENGRASS

By:

Kenneth M. Labbate (KL-1074)

Sanjit Shah (SS-0148)

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, New York 10004

Phone: (212) 804-4200

Fax: (212) 344-8066

klabbate@moundcotton.com sshah@moundcotton.com

Attorneys for Defendants Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc., and Elrige Shelton

Of Counsel:

Lesley Friedman Rosenthal (LR-5637) Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. 70 Lincoln Center Plaza New York, NY 10023-6583

Phone: (212) 875-5000 (212) 875-5122 Fax:

lrosenthal@lincolncenter.org

Page 26 of 45

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK
-----X
MOHAMMAD AHMED,

Plaintiff,

-against-

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ELWOOD SHELTON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, RAJINDER SINGH and NOEL OLIVO,

Defendants.

To the above named defendant(s):

Plaintiff designates New York County as the place of trial

Basis of venue is county of occurrence

SUMMONS

105336 2008

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on the plaintiff's attorney within 20 days after service of this summons (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008

Ву

Edward Sivin, Es

Attorneys for Plaintiff 170 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, NY 10038

(212) 349-0300

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
MOHAMMAD AHMED,	X

Plaintiff,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

-against-

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC., ELWOOD SHELTON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, RAJINDER SINGH and NOEL OLIVO,

]	D	e	fe	n	da	ar	ıt:	s.												
 	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	 _	_	_	_	-X

Plaintiff, by his attorneys Sivin & Miller, LLP, complaining of defendants, alleges as follows, upon information and belief:

THE PARTIES

- 1. That at all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was and is a United States citizen and a resident of the County of Queens, City and State of New York.
- 2. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. (hereinafter "Lincoln Center") was and is a domestic corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. That at all times herein mentioned, Lincoln Center owned, operated, managed, maintained, and controlled a performing arts center located at Lincoln Center Plaza (hereinafter "the premises"), in the County of New York, City and State of New York.

- 4. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Elwood Shelton (hereinafter "Shelton") was and is an employee of Lincoln Center, and was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Lincoln Center.
- 5. That at all times herein mentioned, Shelton was clothed with the authority of state law.
- 6. That at all times herein mentioned, Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, were under an obligation to operate, manage, maintain, and control the premises and activities thereat in a careful, safe, and lawful manner, such that no persons lawfully within and upon the premises would be caused to sustain personal injuries.
- 7. That at all times herein mentioned, Lincoln Center was under an obligation to use reasonable care in the hiring, training, and retention of its employees.
- 8. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant The City of New York (hereinafter "the City") was and is a municipal corporation, organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 9. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Rajinder Singh (hereinafter "Singh") was and is an NYPD officer employed by the City.
- 10. That at all times herein mentioned, Singh was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City.
- 11. That at all times herein mentioned, Singh was acting under color of state law.
- 12. That at all times herein mentioned, defendant Noel Olivo (hereinafter "Olivo") was and is an NYPD officer employed by the City.

- 13. That at all times herein mentioned, Olivo was acting within the course and scope of his employment with the City.
- 14. That at all times herein mentioned, Olivo was acting under color of state law.
- 15. That prior to the institution of this action, and within ninety days from the date when the causes of action accrued herein, a notice of claim and intention to sue was duly served upon and filed with the City on behalf of plaintiff; that this action was not commenced until the expiration of thirty (30) days after such notice of claim and intention to sue was presented, and the City has neglected and/or refused to make adjustment or payment thereon, and this action is being commenced within one year and ninety days after the causes of action accrued herein.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 16. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
 - 17. That on August 18, 2007, plaintiff was lawfully at the premises.
- 18. That while plaintiff was lawfully at the premises, he was falsely accused by agents, servants and/or employees of Lincoln Center, including Shelton, of "scalping" or attempting to "scalp" tickets and/or of otherwise engaging in other prohibited or illegal conduct.
- 19. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, failed to exercise reasonable care and failed to conduct a reasonable or careful inquiry or investigation prior to making the false accusations against plaintiff.

- 20. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, then summoned the NYPD to the premises.
- 21. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, wrongfully instigated, importuned, and encouraged members of the NYPD to arrest plaintiff.
- 22. That Lincoln Center, by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, willfully participated in joint activity with the City, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo.
- 23. That Singh and Olivo forcibly seized, detained, arrested and imprisoned plaintiff without justification, privilege or probable cause, and without adequately investigating the accusations that were made against plaintiff.
- 24. That the seizure, detention, arrest, and imprisonment of plaintiff were caused by the improper conduct of Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton.
- 25. That the seizure, detention, arrest, and imprisonment of plaintiff were caused by the improper conduct of the City, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo.
- 26. That during the course of the seizure, detention, and arrest of plaintiff, the NYPD, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo, used excessive, unreasonable, and unnecessary force against plaintiff, thereby causing physical injury to plaintiff.
- 27. That during the course of the seizure, detention, and arrest of plaintiff, Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, used excessive,

unreasonable, and unnecessary force against plaintiff, thereby causing physical injury to plaintiff.

- 28. That as a result of the aforementioned actions of defendants, plaintiff sustained severe personal injuries, endured and continues to endure pain and suffering and a loss of enjoyment of life, sustained and will continue to sustain economic loss, including healthcare expenses and lost earnings, and has otherwise been damaged.
- 29. That all of the causes of action herein fall within one or more of the exceptions contained in CPLR Article 16 with respect to joint and several liability.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER AND SHELTON

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 31. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, Lincoln Center and Shelton are liable to plaintiff for false arrest and false imprisonment.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER AND SHELTON

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 33. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, Lincoln Center and Shelton are liable to plaintiff for assault and battery.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER AND SHELTON

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 35. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Shelton, Lincoln Center and Shelton are liable to plaintiff for negligence.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST LINCOLN CENTER and SHELTON

- 36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 37. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Lincoln Center, its agents, servants and employees, including Shelton, plaintiff was deprived of his civil rights guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States, and Lincoln Center and Shelton therefore are liable to plaintiff under 42 USC § 1983.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE CITY, SINGH and OLIVO

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 39. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by the City and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo, the City, Singh and Olivo are liable to plaintiff for false arrest and false imprisonment.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE CITY, SINGH and OLIVO

40. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.

41. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by the City and its agents, servants and/or employees, including Singh and Olivo, the City, Singh and Olivo are liable to plaintiff for assault and battery.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST SINGH and OLIVO

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth above as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 43. That by virtue of the aforementioned acts by Singh and Olivo, plaintiff was deprived of his civil rights guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States, and Singh and Olivo therefore are liable to plaintiff under 42 USC § 1983.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against defendants, and all of them, on all of the aforementioned causes of action, for compensatory damages and punitive damages in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts that otherwise would have jurisdiction over this action, and plaintiff also demands attorney's fees against Lincoln Center, Shelton, Singh and Olivo pursuant to 42 USC § 1988, and plaintiff also demands the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008

Yours, etc.

SIVIN & MILLER, LLP

By

Edward Sivin

Attorneys for plaintiff

170 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 349-0300

VERIFICATION

EDWARD SIVIN, an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the State of New York, hereby affirms the following, under penalty of perjury:

That I am the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the within action.

That I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof; that the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be upon information and belief; and as to those matters I believe it to be true.

That the reason this verification is made by your affirmant and not by the plaintiff(s) is that plaintiff(s) does not reside in the County where your affirmant maintains his office.

That the grounds for your affirmant's belief as to all matters not stated upon my knowledge are as follows: records, reports, facts and documents contained in plaintiff(s) file maintained by your affirmant's office.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008

EDWARD SIVIN

Index No.

Year 2008

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MOHAMMAD AHMED,

Plaintiff,

-against-

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC., et. al.,

Defendants.

SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT

SIVIN & MILLER, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff 170 Broadway New York, NY 10038 (212) 349-0300 FAX (212) 406-9462

Certification Pursuant to 22 NYCRR §130-1.1a

Edward Sivin hereby certifies that, pursuant to 22 NYCRR § 130-1.) a, the foregoing papers are not frivolous nor frivolously presented.

Dated: New York, New York April 10, 2008 EDWARD SIVIN

200804300 /4

COUNTY OF NEW YORK Case 1:08-cv-04804-GBD Document 1 Filed 05/22/2008 Page 38 of 45 The papers served bore the index # and MOHAMMAD AHMED date of filing. Plaintiff(s) Index # 105336/2008 - against -Purchased April 14, 2008 LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC. ETAL

Defendant(s)

STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF NEW YORK ss:

MICHAEL HARRIS BEING DULY SWORN DEPOSES AND SAYS DEPONENT IS NOT A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, OVER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN YEARS AND RESIDES IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

That on April 23, 2008 at 03:50 PM at

LINCOLN CENTER ATTN: LEGAL OFFICE 70 LINCOLN CENTER PLAZA 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10023

APR 29 2008

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

deponent served the within SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT on ELWOOD SHELTON therein named,

NEW YORK

SUITABLE AGE

by delivering thereat a true copy of each to CECELIA GILCHRIEST a person of suitable age and GLERIA SOFFICE premises is Defendant's actual place of business within the state. She identified herself as the CO-WORKER of the Defendant.

Deponent further states that he describes the person actually served as follows:

Weight (Approx) Height (Approx.) Hair Color Age (Approx.) Skin Color Sex 120 5'1 50 **BROWN** WHITE **FEMALE**

MAILING

Deponent enclosed a copy of same in a postpaid wrapper properly addressed to the Defendant at the Defendant's actual place of business at

LINCOLN CENTER ATTN: LEGAL OFFICE 70 LINCOLN CENTER PLAZA 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10023

and deposited said wrapper in a post office or official depository under exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within New York State on April 24, 2008 by REGULAR FIRST CLASS MAIL in an envelope marked PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL and not indicating on the outside thereof, by return address or otherwise, that the communication is from an attorney or concerns an action against the person to be served.

MILITARY **SERVICE**

Person spoken to was asked whether the Defendant was in the military service of the State of New York or the United States and received a negative reply. Upon information and belief based upon the conversation and observation as aforesaid deponent avers that the Defendant is not in the military service of the State of New York or the United States as that term is defined in the statutes of the State of New York or the Federal Soldiers and Sailors Civil Relief Act.

That at the time of such service deponent knew the person so served as aforesaid to be the same person mentioned and described as the Defendant in this action.

Sworn to me on: April 24, 2008

JOEL GRABER Notary Public, State of New York No. 02GR4699723 Qualified in New York County Expires February 10, 2010

JOSEPH KNIGHT Notary Public, State of New York Ng. 01KN6178241 Qualified In New York County

Expires November 26, 2011

JONATHAN GRABER Notary Public, State of New York No. 01GR6156780 Qualified in New York County Expires December 4, 2010

MICHAEL HARRIS License #: 1077447

Invoice #: 460658

Page039.96/2508

MOHAMMAD AHMED

Plaintiff(s)

- against -

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ETAL Defendant(s)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

SIVIN & MILLER, ESQS. 170 BROADWAY SUITE 600 NEW YORK, NY 10038 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF (212) 349-0300

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF NEW YORK ss:

STEVEN C. AVERY BEING DULY SWORN DEPOSES AND SAYS DEPONENT IS NOT A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, OVER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN YEARS AND RESIDES IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

That on April 28, 2008 at 10:20 AM at

SECRETARY OF STATE ALBANY, NY

deponent served the within SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT on LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS. IN therein named,

SECRETARY OF STATE a Domestic corporation by delivering two true copies to CAROL VOGT, LEGAL CLERK personally deponent knew said corporation so served to be the corporation described in said summons as said Defendant and knew said individual to be AUTHORIZED to accept thereof.

Service upon the N.Y.S. Secretary of State under SECTION 306 OF THE NOT FOR PROFIT CORPORATION LAW and tendering the required fee.

Deponent further states that he describes the person actually served as follows:

SexSkin ColorHair ColorAge (Approx.)Height (Approx.)Weight (Approx)FEMALEWHITEBLACK455'4140

That at the time of such service deponent knew the person so served as aforesaid to be the same person mentioned and described as the Defendant in this action.

Sworn to me on: April 30, 2008

JOEL GRABER Notary Public, State of New York No. 02GR4699723 Qualified in New York County Expires February 10, 2010 JOSEPH KNIGHT Notary Public, State of New York No. 01KN6178241 Qualified In New York County Expires November 26, 2011 JONATHAN GRABER
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01GR6156780
Qualified in New York County
Expires December 4, 2010

STEVEN C. AVERY

Invoice #: 460657

SUPREME COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Filed 05/22/2008 Page 5/336/2/508

MOHAMMAD AHMED

Plaintiff(s)

- against -

LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC, ETAL

Defendant(s)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

SIVIN & MILLER, ESQS. 170 BROADWAY SUITE 600 NEW YORK, NY 10038 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF (212) 349-0300

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORKX	
MOHAMMAD AHMED,	
Plaintiff,	Index No. 105336/08
v.	NOTICE OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL
LINCOLN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS, INC., ELWOOD SHELTON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, RAJINDER SINGH and NOEL OLIVO,	

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 22nd day of May, 2008, the annexed Notice of Removal was filed, removing this action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Dated: New York, New York May 22, 2008

MOUND COTTON WOLLAN & GREENGRASS

By:

Defendants.

Kenneth M. Labbate (KL-1074)

Sanjit Shah (SS-0148)

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, New York 10004

Phone: (212) 804-4200

Fax: (212) 344-8066

klabbate@moundcotton.com sshah@moundcotton.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc.

and Elrige Shelton

To: Edward Sivin, Esq.
Sivin & Miller, LLP
170 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mohammad Ahmed

New York City Department of Law 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007 Attorneys for Defendants The City of New York, Rajinder Singh and Noel Olivo

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEW YORK))ss.:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

IRENE SIEGEL, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

Deponent is not a party to this action, is over the age of 18 years, and resides in Queens, New York.

That on the 22nd of May, 2008 deponent served the annexed NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Edward Sivin, Esq. Sivin & Miller, LLP 170 Broadway New York, NY 10038 Attorneys for Plaintiff

upon:

New York City Department of Law 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007 Attorneys for Defendants The City of New York, Rajinder Singh and Noel Olivo

at the address designated by said attorney(s), by depositing the same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper and directed to the above address in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York

ÎRENE SIEGEL

Sworn to before me this 22nd day of May, 2008

Notary Public

KEVIN J. BRASSIL
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 31-5018311

Qualified in New York County Certificate Filed in New York County Commission Expires Sept. 27, 20